Long pipe



- Any piece of pipe longer than 30 feet shall be clearly labelled "long pipe" on each end
- Any piece of pipe longer than 100 feet shall also be labelled "long pipe" in the middle, so the plumber doesn't have to walk all the way to the end to find out whether it is long pipe or not.
- WARNING: Long Lecture

How do we use statistics

- We use statistics to confirm effects, estimate parameters, and predict outcomes
- It usually rains when I'm in Cape Town, but mostly on Sunday
 - Confirmation: In Cape Town, it rains more on Sundays than other days
 - Estimation: In Cape Town, the odds of rain on Sunday are 1.6–2.2 times higher than on other days

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

 Prediction: I am confident that it will rain at least one Sunday while I am here

Raining in Cape Town



- How we interpret data like this necessarily depends on assumptions:
 - Is it likely our observations
 - occured by chance?
 - Is it likely they didn't?

・ ロ ト ・ 雪 ト ・ 雪 ト ・ 日 ト

3

Vitamin A

- We measure the average heights of children raised with and without vitamin A supplements
 - Estimate: how much taller (or shorter) are the treated children on average?
 - Confirmation: are we sure that the supplements are helping (or hurting)?
 - Range of estimates: how much do we think the supplement is helping?

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Outline

Estimation

Frequentist paradigm

Bayesian paradigm

Conclusion

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ の�?

Estimation

- We use P values to say how sure we are that we have seen some effect
- We use confidence intervals to say what we think is going on (with a certain level of confidence)
- P values are over-rated
- Never use a P value as evidence that an effect is small, or that two quantities are similar.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Vitamin A example

- We want to know if vitamin A supplements improve the health of village children
 - Is height is a good measure of general health?
 - How will we know height differences are due to our treatment?
 - We want the two groups to start from the same point independent randomization of each individual

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- We may measure changes in height
- Or *control for* other factors

What do we hope to learn?

Is vitamin A good for these children?

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

- How sure are we?
- How good do we think it is?
- How sure are we about that?

P values

- What does it mean if I find a "significant P value" for some effect in this experiment?
- The difference is unlikely to be due to chance
 - So what! I already know vitamin A has strong effects on metabolism
- If I'm certain that the true answer isn't exactly zero, why do I want the P value anyway?

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Confidence intervals



- What do these results mean?
- Which are significant?

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

æ

Confidence intervals and P values



 A high P value means we can't see the sign of the effect clearly

・ロット (雪) (日) (日)

э

 A low P value means we can

The meaning of P values



- More broadly, a P value measures whether we are seeing something clearly
 - It's usually the sign of some quantity, but doesn't need to be

Types of Error

- Type I (False positive:) concluding there is an effect when there isn't one
 - This doesn't happen in biology. There is always an effect.
- Type II (False negative:) concluding there is no effect when there really is
 - This should never happen, because we should never conclude there is no effect

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Experimental design

- Type I (*False positive:*) in the hypothetical case that the effect is exactly zero, what is the probability of falsely finding an effect
 - Should be less than or equal to my significance value
- Type II (*False negative:*) what is the probability of failing to find an effect that is there?
 - Useful, but can only be asked for a specific hypothetical effect size
- This is basically power and validity analysis you should do these hypothetical analyses *before* you collect data, not after

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

A new view of error



- Sign error: if I think an effect is positive, when it's really negative (or vice versa)
- Magnitude error: if I think an effect is small, when it's really large (or vice versa)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

 Confidence intervals clarify all of this

Low P values



- If I have a low P value I can see something clearly
- But it's usually better to focus on what I see than the P value

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

High P values



- If I have a high P value, there is something I don't see clearly
- It may be because this effect is small
- High P values should not be used to advance your conclusion

・ コット (雪) (小田) (コット 日)

What causes high P values?

- Small differences
- Less data
- More noise
- An inappropriate model
- Less model resolution
- A lower P value means that your evidence for difference is better
- A higher P value means that your evidence for similarity is better – or worse!

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Annualized flu deaths



Why is weather not causing deaths at this time scale?

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

æ

... with confidence intervals



- Never say: A is significant and B isn't, so A > B
- Instead: Construct a statistic for the hypothesis A > B
 - Sometimes difficult, but you still have to do it

・ロット (雪) (日) (日)

э

Syllogisms



- All men are mortal
- Jacob Zuma is mortal
- Therefore, Jacob Zuma is a man

Syllogisms



- All men are mortal
- Fanny the elephant is mortal
- Therefore, Fanny is a man

Bad logic

- A lot of statistical practice works this way:
 - bad logic in service of conclusions that are (usually) correct

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- This sort of statistical practice leads in the aggregate to bad science
- The logic can be fixed:
 - Estimate a difference, or an interaction

Small effects

- We can't build statistical confidence that something is small by failing to see it clearly
- We must instead see clearly that it is small
- This means we need a standard for what we mean by small

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Flu masks



Flu mask example

- People who work in respiratory clinics sometimes have to wear bulky, uncomfortable, expensive masks
- They would like to switch to simpler masks, if those will do the job
- How can this be tested statistically? We don't want the masks to be "different".
 - Use a confidence interval
 - Decide how big a level is acceptable, and construct a P value for the hypothesis that this level is excluded!

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

Study results



・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

æ

Non-inferiority trial



- Is the new mask "good enough"?
- What's our standard for that?

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

э

Non-inferiority trial



- We can even attach a P value by basing it on the "right" statistic.
- The right statistic is the thing whose sign we want to know:
 - The difference between the observed effect and the standard we chose

・ ロ ト ・ 雪 ト ・ 雪 ト ・ 日 ト

3



Estimation

Frequentist paradigm

Bayesian paradigm

Conclusion



Frequentist paradigm

- Make a null model
- Test whether the effect you see could be due to chance
 - What is the probability of seeing exactly a 1.52 cm difference in average heights?
- Test whether the effect you see or a larger effect could be due to chance

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

This probability is the P value

Height measurements



(ロ)、(国)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)へ(C)









◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ ○ < ○

The null distribution



values

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Outline

Estimation

Frequentist paradigm

Bayesian paradigm

Conclusion

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Bayesian paradigm



- Make a complete model world
- Use conditional probability to calculate the probability you want

(日)

A powerful framework

- More assumptions more power
- With great power comes great responsibility



・ コット (雪) (小田) (コット 日)

Bayesian inference

- We want to go from a statistical model of how our data are generated, to a probability model of parameter values
 - Requires *prior* distributions describing the assumed likelihood of parameters before these observations are made
 - Use Bayes theorem to calculate posterior distribution likelihood after taking data into account

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Vitamin A study



- A frequentist can do a clear analysis right away
- A Bayesian needs a ton of assumptions – will try to make "uninformative" assumptions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Cape Town weather



- Frequentist: how unlikely is the observation, from a random perspective?
- Bayesian: what's my model world? What is my prior belief about weather-weekday interactions.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Example: MMEV

 MMEV is a viral infection that can cause a serious disease (called MMED)

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

- MMED patients are unable to control their urge to fit models to data
- A certain population has a prevalence of 1%
- The rapid MMEV test gives a positive result:
 - 100% of the time for people with the virus
 - 5% of the time for people without the virus

MMEV questions

- You pick a person from this population at random, and test them, and the test is positive.
 - What is the probability that they have MMEV?
- You learn that your friend has had a positive rapid test for MMEV

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

What do you tell them?

Outline

Estimation

Frequentist paradigm

Bayesian paradigm

Conclusion

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ●

Your philosophy

- Statistics are not a magic machine that gives you the right answer
- If you are to be a serious scientist in a noisy world, you should have your own philosophy of statistics
 - Be pragmatic: your goal is to do science, not get caught by theoretical considerations

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

- Be honest: it's harder than it sounds.
- You can always keep analyzing until you find a "significant" result
 - If you do this you will make a lot of mistakes